

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

INGRID SABER,
Plaintiff/Appellant,

v.

KRISTI MICHELLE DURHAM,
Defendant/Appellee.

No. 2 CA-CV 2019-0079
Filed October 11, 2019, 2019

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.
NOT FOR PUBLICATION
See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Civ. App. P. 28(a)(1), (f).

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County
No. C20191448
The Honorable Renee T. Bennett, Judge

AFFIRMED

Ingrid Saber, Tucson
In Propria Persona

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Eppich authored the decision of the Court, in which
Judge Espinosa and Judge Eckerstrom concurred.

E P P I C H, Presiding Judge:

SABER v. DURHAM
Decision of the Court

¶1 Ingrid Saber appeals the trial court's dismissal of her injunction against Kristi Durham. We affirm.

Factual and Procedural Background

¶2 In March 2019, Saber requested a harassment injunction against Durham, alleging that Durham, who lived in a condominium directly above her own, had engaged in various hostile and harassing acts. At an *ex parte* hearing, the trial court granted the injunction, forbidding Durham from contacting Saber. Durham contested the injunction, and after she and Saber testified at a hearing, the court dismissed the injunction.

¶3 During the same time period, Durham requested, and the trial court granted, a harassment injunction against Saber. Unlike the injunction against Durham, the court maintained the injunction against Saber after hearing Durham's and Saber's testimony.

¶4 Saber appealed both the injunction against herself and the dismissal of her injunction against Durham. We have already denied Saber's appeal of the trial court's decision to maintain Durham's injunction against her, *see Durham v. Saber*, No. 2 CA-CV 2019-0073, ¶ 2 (Ariz. App. Sept. 16, 2019) (mem. decision), and now consider Saber's timely appeal of the dismissal of her injunction against Durham. We have jurisdiction pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-2101(A)(5)(b).

Discussion

¶5 For the same reasons we denied Saber's appeal of the injunction against herself, we deny her appeal here. Saber has not cited legal authority or meaningfully cited the record, nor provided us with transcripts of the relevant hearings. While Durham filed no answering brief, Saber has once again failed to raise a debatable issue meriting response. Therefore, as in her other appeal, Saber has waived all review. *See Durham*, No. 2 CA-CV 2019-0073, ¶ 4.

Disposition

¶6 We affirm the trial court's dismissal of Saber's injunction against harassment.